

MINUTES
Agenda Review Meeting
Tuesday, February 9, 2010
City – County Building

The Knoxville/Knox County Metropolitan Planning Commission convened on February 9, 2010, at Noon in the Small Assembly Room of the City-County Building, for the monthly agenda review meeting. In attendance were Planning Commissioners George Ewart, Laura Cole, Art Clancy, MPC Chairman Robert Anders, MPC Vice Chair Trey Benefield, Rachel Craig, Mose Lobetti, Jack Sharp, Bart Carey and Ursula Bailey. Also in attendance were MPC staff Mark Donaldson, Executive Director of MPC, and Buz Johnson the Deputy Executive Director of MPC. Various other staff members were also in attendance.

Mr. Donaldson called the meeting to order and reported on the County Commission and the Knoxville City Council adopting the joint Parks and Greenway plan.

Commissioner Michael Kane joined the meeting at this time.

Mr. Donaldson advised of the adoption of the Contractors storage Yard Amendment to the County Zoning Ordinance by the County Commission.

Commissioner Stan Johnson joined the meeting at this time.

Mr. Donaldson continued with an update of actions taken by the County Commission and the City Council on land use issues.

Tom Brechko of the MPC Staff briefed the Commission on the Staff recommendation regarding Items 15, 23 and 29 of the Agenda for the February MPC meeting.

Mike Brusseau of MPC Staff briefed the Commission on Item 33 to the February Agenda. There followed the discussion regarding roof construction requirements included in prior approvals regarding the property associated with Item 33. John King, attorney for the applicant on Item 33, rose to suggest alternatives to the Staff recommendation regarding the use restriction for the property. There followed a discussion of the Appeal process regarding the lighting restrictions and a discussion of Mr. King's proposals by the Commissioners.

Mr. Brusseau then briefed the Commission on the Staff recommendation regarding Item 34. Wayne Kline, attorney for one of the owners of the property affected by Item 34 suggested that the proposed rezoning could constitute a taking of his clients' property since the setback requirements could not be satisfied. Mr. King, representing other owners of property affected by Item 13, urged consideration by the Commission for an expansion of time dedicated to the debate on Item 34 at the monthly meeting. Lisa Starbuck, appearing for concerned neighbors expressed the desirability of the Use on Review process to be

employed in the zoning of the property affected by Item 34.

Dan Kelly of the MPC Staff then briefed the Commission on Item 42.

Mr. Brechko then briefed the Commission on Item 44.

Jeff Archer of MPC Staff then presented a background, and exposition of the proposed East County Sector Plan Draft, which is Item 14 in the February Agenda, including the processes employed in reaching out for public participation.

Elaine Clark, a property owner within the East County Sector presented the position of the French Broad Neighborhood Association.

William Tharpe of the OCHS Center presented an overview of an Economic and Alternate Impact analysis prepared by his office regarding a portion of the East County Sector, which report is included as part of the packet for Item 14. Thereupon followed a round table discussion among Commissioners, citizens, representatives from the Development Corporation, representatives from the Chamber Partnership, and MPC Staff on various alternatives for the ECO-7 area identified in the draft of the East County Sector Plan.

There being no further business, the meeting was duly adjourned.

This 9th day of February, 2010.

Stephen R. Wise
Recording Secretary