MPC AGENDA REVIEW MEETING November 5, 2012 (Rescheduled) Meeting Agenda

- I. Executive Director's Report
- II. Review of MPC Items
 - Ordinance Amendments
- **#5 Knoxville City Council (9-A-12-OA)** Staff Recommendation: **APPROVE.** These changes to the city zoning ordinance have been prepared in response to a request from City Council to allow courtyard development in appropriate residential zone districts. The revisions include a definition for courtyard development, a list of the zones where such development would be permitted as a use on review, and development standards for courtyard development projects. The changes were postponed at the September MPC meeting to allow for more time to gather input from the general public regarding their impact. Staff attended two neighborhood meetings and a public meeting at the Cansler Family YMCA to present the proposal. **(Donaldson)**
- #6 City of Knoxville Engineering Department (11-A-12-OA) Staff Recommendation: APPROVE. These changes were prepared by city engineering department staff for the purpose of brining the zoning ordinance into compliance with current stormwater regulations related to design and construction standards for parking areas and driveways. A new definition for parking space is also included. (Brusseau)
 - Street Name Changes
- **#10 E-911 (11-A-12-SNC)** Staff Recommendation: **APPROVE.** This is a request for the approval of a street name for an unnamed joint permanent easement that serves several lots off Jack Jones Road. The request complies with applicable requirements of the Knox County Street Naming and Addressing Ordinance and will not create a duplicate street name. **(Kelly)**
 - Uses on Review
- #31 Carol Phillips (10-D-12-UR) Staff Recommendation: APPROVE, with conditions. This is a request for the approval of a 1,500 sq. ft. accessory structure on a one acre tract zoned R-1 and located in Westmoreland Hills. The need for this request is that the proposed accessory structure is over the size threshold that requires such structures to be considered as a use permitted on review. In staff's opinion, the request with the conditions noted is consistent with the requirements of the zoning ordinance for approval within the R-1 zone and for uses permitted on review. There is neighborhood opposition to this request. (Brechko)